MIT CSAIL 6.869 Advances in Computer Vision Fall 2018

Problem Set 5

Posted: Thursday, October 11, 2018

Due: October 18, 2018

Submission Instructions: Please submit three separate files: 1) a report named (your_kerberos).pdf, including your responses to all required questions with images and/or plots showing your results, 2) a video file named (your_kerberos).avi containing your output for Problem 3d, and 3) a file named (your_kerberos).zip, containing relevant source code. Submissions that do not adhere to these instructions are subject to an additional penalty.

Late Submission Policy: We do not accept late submissions. The submission deadline has a 50-minute soft cut-off; after midnight Thursday, submissions are penalized 2% per minute late.

Collaborators: You are free to discuss problems with other students but all writing must be done individually. Please list all collaborators at the top of your report.

Problem 1 Texture Synthesis

In this problem you will implement the Efros and Leung algorithm [1] for texture synthesis discussed in Section 10.3 of Forsyth and Ponce [2]. In addition to reading the textbook you may also find it helpful to visit Efros' texture synthesis website: http://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~efros/research/EfrosLeung.html

in which many of the implementation details described below can be found. As discussed in class, the Efros and Leung algorithm synthesizes a new texture by performing an exhaustive search of a source texture for each synthesized pixel in the target image, in which sum-of-squared differences (SSD) is used to associate similar image patches in the source image with that of the target. The algorithm is initialized by randomly selecting a 3×3 patch from the source texture and placing it in the center of the target texture. The boundaries of this patch are then recursively filled until all pixels in the target image have been considered. Implement the Efros and Leung algorithm as the following MATLAB function:

```
synthIm = SynthTexture(sample, w, s)
```

where sample is the source texture image, w is the width of the search window, and s=[ht,

wt] specifies the height and width of the target image synthIm. As described above, this algorithm will create a new target texture image, initialized with a 3x3 patch from the source image. It will then grow this patch to fill the entire image. As discussed in the textbook, when growing the image un-filled pixels along the boundary of the block of synthesized values are considered at each iteration of the algorithm. A useful technique for recovering the location of these pixels in MATLAB is using *dilation*, a morphological operation that expands image regions.

Use MATLAB's imdilate and find routines to recover the un-filled pixel locations along the boundary of the synthesized block in the target image.

In addition to the above function we ask you to write a subroutine that for a given pixel in the target image, returns a list of possible candidate matches in the source texture along with their corresponding SSD errors. This function should have the following syntax:

```
[bestMatches, errors] = FindMatches(template, sample, G)
```

where bestMatches is the list of possible candidate matches with corresponding SSD errors specified by errors. template is the $w \times w$ image template associated with a pixel of the target image, sample is the source texture image, and G is a 2D Gaussian mask discussed below. This routine is called by SynthTexture and a pixel value is randomly selected from bestMatches to synthesize a pixel of the target image. To form bestMatches accept all pixel locations whose SSD error values are less than the minimum SSD value times $(1 + \epsilon)$. To avoid randomly selecting a match with unusually large error, also check that the error of the randomly selected match is below a threshold δ . Efros and Leung use threshold values of $\epsilon = 0.1$ and $\delta = 0.3$.

Note that template can have values that have not yet been filled in by the image growing routine. Mask the template image such that these values are not considered when computing SSD. Efros and Leung suggest using the following image mask:

Mask = G .* validMask

where validMask is a square mask of width w that is 1 where the template is filled, 0 otherwise and G is a 2D zero-mean Gaussian with variance $\sigma = w/6.4$ sampled on a $w \times w$ grid centered about its mean. G can be pre-computed using MATLAB's fspecial routine. The purpose of the Gaussian is to down-weight pixels that are farther from the center of the template. Also, make sure to normalize the mask such that its elements sum to 1.

Test and run your implementation using the grayscale source texture image rings.jpg provided in the folder, with window widths of w = 5, 7, 13, s = [100, 100] and an initial starting seed of (x, y) = (4, 32). Explain the algorithm's performance with respect to window size. For a given window size, if you re-run the algorithm with the same starting seed do you get the same result? Why or why not? Is this true for all window sizes? Also run your implementation using real color image texture.jpg and see how window size affects the performance.

Include in your report the synthesized textures that correspond to each window size along with answers to the above questions.

References

- [1] Alexei A Efros and Thomas K Leung. Texture synthesis by non-parametric sampling. In ICCV, 1999.
- [2] David A Forsyth and Jean Ponce. Computer vision: a modern approach. 2003.