### Lecture 9

# Statistical models of images



6.869/6.819 Advances in Computer Vision

Fall 2019 Bill Freeman, Antonio Torralba, Phillip Isola

October 3, 2019



This looks like a noisy image. But how do you know that? What about the image tells you that it's a noisy image?

## Today's lecture:

4 image models, and

3 noise removal algorithms, corresponding to each of the last 3 image models.

## Statistical modeling of images



To appear in: Handbook of Video and Image Processing, 2nd edition ed. Alan Bovik, ©Academic Press, 2005.

#### 4.7 Statistical Modeling of Photographic Images

Eero P. Simoncelli

New York University

January 18, 2005

https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ee55/814e8705f5e8cf664efb66c31c0ea6372d92.pdf

# Statistical modeling of images



### Model 0: model isolated pixel intensities

$$p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$$

#### **Assumptions**:

- Independence: All pixels are independent.
- Stationarity: The distribution of pixel intensities does not depend on image location.

# $p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$ Fitting the model



## Sampling new images

 $p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$ 





Sample

# Sampling new images

 $p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$ 



Sample

# Statistical modeling of images



### Model 1: model pixel intensity covariances



 $C(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y), \mathbf{I}(x, y)]$ 

Image intensities assumed to be zero mean for notational convenience

 $C(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y), \mathbf{I}(x, y)]$ 



## Gaussian model

We want a distribution that captures the correlation structure typical of natural images.

Let **C** be the covariance matrix of the image:  

$$p(\mathbf{I}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^{T}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right) \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \vdots \\ & c_{n-1} & c_{0} & c_{1} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & c_{2} \\ & & & & c_{1} \\ c_{1} & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Stationarity assumption: Symmetrical circulant matrix

Diagonalization of circulant matrices:  $C = EDE^{T}$ 

The eigenvectors are the Fourier basis

The eigenvalues are the squared magnitude of the Fourier coefficients



### A remarkable property of natural images





D. J. Field, "Relations between the statistics of natural images and the response properties of cortical cells," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **4**, 2379- (1987)

### A remarkable property of natural images



## Sampling new images

$$p(\mathbf{I}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^T\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right)$$









# Sampling new images





Randomizing the phase (fit the Gaussian image model to each of the images in the top row, then draw another random sample) you get the bottom row.





# Denoising, using image model 1

#### Decomposition of a noisy image





# Denoising

#### Decomposition of a noisy image



White Gaussian noise:  $N(0, \sigma_n^2)$  Natural image

Find I(x,y) that maximizes the posterior (maximum a posteriori, MAP):

$$\max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{I}_n) = \max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I}) \times p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I})$$
ikelihood

# Denoising

#### Decomposition of a noisy image



White Gaussian noise:  $N(0, \sigma_n^2)$  Natural image

Find I(x,y) that maximizes the posterior (maximum a posteriori, MAP):

$$\max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{I}_n) = \max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I}) \times p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I})$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{I}} \exp(-|\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{I}|^2 / \sigma_n^2) \times \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right) \right]$$

# Denoising

$$\max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{I}_n) = \max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I}) \times p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I})$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{I}} \exp(-|\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{I}|^2 / \sigma_n^2) \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right)$$

The solution is:

$$\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C}+\sigma_{n}^{2}\mathbb{I}
ight)^{-1}\mathbf{I}_{n}$$
 (note this is a linear operation)

This can also be written in the Fourier domain, with  $C = EDE^{T}$ :

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{I}}(v) = \frac{A/|v|^{2\alpha}}{A/|v|^{2\alpha} + \sigma_n^2} \widetilde{\mathbf{I}}_n(v)$$

#### Decomposition of a noisy image





 $\frac{A/|v|^{2\alpha}}{A/|v|^{2\alpha}+\sigma_n^2}$ 











The truth:



#### The estimated decomposition:



And we got all this from just modeling the correlation between pairs of pixels!

### Dead leaves model implies sparse image gradients

Introduced in the 60's by Matheron (67) and popularized by Ruderman (97)



From Lee, Mumford and Huang 2001

# Edges



[-1 1]



[-1, 1] = h[m,n]



f[m,n]

g[m,n]

**[-1 1]**<sup>⊤</sup>



[-1, 1]<sup>⊤</sup> = h[m,n]



f[m,n]

g[m,n]

## **Observation: Sparse filter response**





#### Intensity histogram

#### [1 -1] filter output

[1 -1] output histogram



### A model for the distribution of filter outputs



## Generalized Gaussian

$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(-|x/s|^r)}{2s/r\Gamma(1/r)}$$



Uniform distribution r -> infinite
#### Image model 2: The wavelet marginal model



## The wavelet marginal model



k x,y

# What is the most probable image under the wavelet marginal model?



$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(-|x/s|^r)}{2s/r\Gamma(1/r)}$$



### Sampling images from the two models so far

#### Gaussian model



**Fig. 3.** Example image randomly drawn from the Gaussian spectral model, with  $\gamma = 2.0$ .

#### Wavelet marginal model



Fig. 6. A sample image drawn from the wavelet marginal model, with subband density parameters chosen to fit the image of Fig. 7.

# Steerable Pyramid (a good decomposition for the wavelet marginal model)

<u>Decomposition</u> <u>Reconstruction</u>



#### Steerable Pyramid

#### **Decomposition**

#### **Reconstruction**



#### Denoising

White

noise

Noisy image







Denoising with the marginal wavelet model Let y = noise-corrupted observation: y = x+n, with  $n \sim gaussian$ .

Let x = bandpassed image value before adding noise.



### Denoising with the marginal wavelet model

Let x = bandpassed image value before adding noise. Let y = noise-corrupted observation.



### Denoising with the marginal wavelet model

Let x = bandpassed image value before adding noise. Let y = noise-corrupted observation.



### Denoising with the marginal wavelet model



For small y: probably it is due to noise and y should be set to 0 For large y: probably it is due to an image edge and it should be kept untouched

# MAP estimate, $\hat{x}$ , as function of observed coefficient value, y



Figure 2: Bayesian estimator (symmetrized) for the signal and noise histograms shown in figure 1. Superimposed on the plot is a straight line indicating the identity function.

http://www-bcs.mit.edu/people/adelson/pub\_pdfs/simoncelli\_noise.pdf

Simoncelli and Adelson, Noise Removal via Bayesian Wavelet Coring











Laplacian distribution





r = 2

Gaussian distribution



original



With Gaussian noise of std. dev. 21.4 added, giving PSNR=22.06



(1) Denoised withGaussian model,PSNR=27.87





(2) Denoised withwavelet marginalmodel,PSNR=29.24

http://www.cns.nyu.edu/pub/eero/simoncelli05a-preprint.pdf

## Image model 3: Non-parametric image model

#### Texture Synthesis by Non-parametric Sampling

Alexei A. Efros and Thomas K. Leung Computer Science Division University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720-1776, U.S.A. {efros,leungt}@cs.berkeley.edu

# Efros & Leung Algorithm



#### Assuming Markov property, compute P(p|N(p))

- Building explicit probability tables is infeasible
- –Instead, we search the input image for all similar neighborhoods — that's our pdf for p
- -To sample from this pdf, just pick one match at random



Figure 1. Algorithm Overview. Given a sample texture image (left), a new image is being synthesized one pixel at a time (right). To synthesize a pixel, the algorithm first finds all neighborhoods in the sample image (boxes on the left) that are similar to the pixel's neighborhood (box on the right) and then randomly chooses one neighborhood and takes its center to be the newly synthesized pixel.

### Neighborhood Window



# Varying Window Size











<u>1 1 1 1 1</u>				
T 1				<u>'</u> ' ' ' ' '
<u> </u>			1,11	
				-
<u>' 1 ' 7 1</u>	<u> </u>			
	ברר	1 1		
┯┘└─└┓─└		1-1		1-1-1-
		1		

Increasing window size

## Synthesis Results



### More Results

#### white bread







### Homage to Shannon

r Dick Gephardt was fai rful riff on the looming in nly asked, "What's your tions?" A heartfelt sigh story about the emergen es against Clinton. "Boy g people about continuin ardt began, patiently obs s, that the legal system h g with this latest tanger

b roopi odi ?. eh. Auv htht. 1 imr Ihnnne egle ier ototi " n-irtht, feor + elor "Agas "he" G a,o a.Js? tirtfooear, frot hting of dtab, hobini, thuithr te opm ter , iae , tefuilt "tusl" Itt B fit seu + jois hrist'dh bnr rn. 1 id of Pule 10 this one of the formation in a straight one of the state of the sta bntu nB. ', s<sup>1</sup> fai if' il hooegahmtt. 's af en's the hskas he k ys.h, c "u et if' i tin <sup>th</sup> tu inlieg dt ff afe c+d, teil.'r h, if' i C noluu inlieg dt e, will boo c artart. dthf ptdi Und in e owhe s. Amoe elt Vapin, t tt<sub>eð</sub> The ingeor 6 abenn le de ver nir "t tiftelit rrn, oe[bs\_the] Jiot tii, H en A "ar en e Utit tu ri. to seh ite . le th mine 1? rt sy psan wayoh oi 12b

thaim. them . "Whephartfe lartifelintomimen el ck Clirticout omaim thartfelins fout sanetc the ry onst wartfe lck Gephtoomimeationl sigab Chiooufit Clinut Cll riff on, hat's yordn, parut tly : ons ycontonsteht wasked, paim t sahe loo riff on 1 nskoneploourtfeas leil A nst Clit, "Wieontongal s k Cirtioouirtfepe.ong pme abegal fartfenstemem itiensteneltorydt telemephinsperdt was agemen ff ons artientont Cling peme as،rtfe ati،h, "Boui s nal s fartfelt sig pedr‡rdt ske abounutie aboutioo tfeonewas you aboun thardt that ins fain, ped, ains. them, pabout wasy arfut couitly d, In A h ole emthrängboomme agas fa bontinsyst Clinut ' ory about continst Clipeoµinst Cloke agatiff out ( stome minemen fly ardt beoraboul n, thenly as t G cons faimeme Diontont wat coutlyohgans as fan ien, phrtfaul, "Wbaut cout congagal cómininga: mifmst Cliny abon al coountha.emungaint tf oun Vhe looorysten loontieph. intly on, theoplegatick 🤇 ul fatiezontly atie Diontiomt wal s f tbegàe ener <u>mthahgat's enenhinhas fan. "intchthory abons y</u>

# Hole Filling













## Extrapolation









# Associated non-parametric noise removal algorithm

#### A non-local algorithm for image denoising

Antoni Buades, Bartomeu Coll Dpt. Matemàtiques i Informàtica, UIB Ctra. Valldemossa Km. 7.5, 07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain vdmiabc4@uib.es, tomeu.coll@uib.es Jean-Michel Morel CMLA, ENS Cachan 61, Av du Président Wilson 94235 Cachan, France morel@cmla.ens-cachan.fr tificial shocks which can be justified by the computation of its method noise, see [3].

#### 3. NL-means algorithm

Given a discrete noisy image  $v = \{v(i) \mid i \in I\}$ , the estimated value NL[v](i), for a pixel *i*, is computed as a weighted average of all the pixels in the image,

$$NL[v](i) = \sum_{j \in I} w(i, j)v(j),$$

$$w(i,j) = \frac{1}{Z(i)} e^{-\frac{||v(\mathcal{N}_i) - v(\mathcal{N}_j)||_{2,a}^2}{h^2}},$$

where Z(i) is the normalizing constant

$$Z(i) = \sum_{j} e^{-\frac{||v(N_i) - v(N_i)||_{2,a}^2}{h^2}}$$

and the parameter h acts as a degree of filtering. It controls the decay of the exponential function and therefore the decay of the weights as a function of the Euclidean distances.



Figure 2. Display of the NL-means weight distribution used to estimate the central pixel of every image. The weights go from 1(white) to zero(black).

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

Figure 5. Denoising experience on a natural image. From left to right and from top to bottom: noisy image (standard deviation 20), Gauss filtering, anisotropic filtering, Total variation, Neighborhood filtering and NL-means algorithm. The removed details must be compared with the method noise experience, Figure 4.

### if there's time...

# Image Quilting [Efros & Freeman]

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

• <u>Observation</u>: neighbor pixels are highly correlated

#### <u>Idea:</u> unit of synthesis = block

- Exactly the same but now we want P(B|N(B))
- Much faster: synthesize all pixels in a block at once
- Not the same as multi-scale!

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

Random placement of blocks Neighboring blocks constrained by overlap

Minimal error boundary cut

![](_page_66_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Minimal error boundary

#### overlapping blocks

![](_page_67_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_4.jpeg)

vertical boundary

![](_page_67_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_7.jpeg)

min. error boundary

# Texture Transfer

- Take the texture from one object and "paint" it onto another object
  - This requires separating texture and shape
  - That's HARD, but we can cheat
  - Assume we can capture shape by boundary and rough shading

![](_page_68_Picture_5.jpeg)

Then, just add another constraint when sampling: similarity to underlying image at that spot

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### parmesan

![](_page_69_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_4.jpeg)

rice

![](_page_69_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)
## Source texture





## Target image

## Source correspondence image





## Target correspondence image

