6.869 Advances in Computer Vision Bill Freeman and Antonio Torralba

Lecture 11 MRF's (continued), cameras and lenses.

remember correction on Gibbs sampling

Wednesday, March 9, 2011



Motion application



What behavior should we see in a motion algorithm?

- Aperture problem
- Resolution through propagation of information
- Figure/ground discrimination

4

http://web.mit.edu/persci/demos/Motion&Form/demos/one-square/one-square.html



4

http://web.mit.edu/persci/demos/Motion&Form/demos/one-square/one-square.html







motion program demo

Inference:

Motion estimation results (maxima of scene probability distributions displayed)

Image data



Iterations 0 and 1

Initial guesses only show motion at edges.

7

Motion estimation results (maxima of scene probability distributions displayed)



Iterations 2 and 3

Figure/ground still unresolved here.

Motion estimation results (maxima of scene probability distributions displayed)



Iterations 4 and 5

Final result compares well with vector quantized true (uniform) velocities.

Vision applications of MRF's

- Stereo
- Motion estimation
- Labelling shading and reflectance
- Segmentation
- Many others...

Random Fields for segmentation

- I = Image pixels (observed)
- h = foreground/background labels (hidden) one label per pixel
- θ = **Parameters**

 $p(h | I, \theta)$

Posterior

Random Fields for segmentation

- I = Image pixels (observed)
- **h** = foreground/background labels (hidden) one label per pixel θ = Parameters

 $p(h|I,\theta) \propto p(I,h|\theta) = p(I|h,\theta)p(h|\theta)$ **Posterior** Joint Likelihood **Prior**

Random Fields for segmentation

- I = Image pixels (observed)
- **h** = foreground/background labels (hidden) one label per pixel θ = Parameters

$$\underbrace{p(h \mid I, \theta)}_{\text{Posterior}} \propto \underbrace{p(I, h \mid \theta)}_{\text{Joint}} = \underbrace{p(I \mid h, \theta)}_{\text{Likelihood}} \underbrace{p(h \mid \theta)}_{\text{Prior}}$$

- 1. Generative approach models joint \rightarrow Markov random field (MRF)
- 2. Discriminative approach models posterior directly → Conditional random field (CRF) 11

 $p(h, I | \theta) = p(I | h, \theta) p(h | \theta)$



12

Wednesday, March 9, 2011

$$p(h, I | \theta) = p(I | h, \theta) p(h | \theta)$$



12

Wednesday, March 9, 2011

 $p(h, I \mid \theta) = p(I \mid h, \theta) p(h \mid \theta)$



 $p(h, I \mid \theta) = p(I \mid h, \theta) p(h \mid \theta)$







Conditional Random Field



Conditional Random Field











OBJCUT

parameter)

14



• Ω is a shape prior on the labels from a Layered Pictorial Structure (LPS) model

Segmentation by:

- Match LPS model to image (get number of samples, each with a different pose

-Marginalize over the samples using a single graph cut [Boykov & Jolly, 2001]

OBJCUT

Kumar, Torr & Zisserman 2005



OBJCUT: Shape prior - Ω - Layered Pictorial Structures (LPS)

- Generative model
- Composition of parts + spatial layout



OBJCUT: Results

Using LPS Model for Cow

In the absence of a clear boundary between object and background

Image





Segmentation





Outline of MRF section

- Inference in MRF's.
 - Gibbs sampling, simulated annealing
 - Iterated conditional modes (ICM)
 - Belief propagation
 - Application example—super-resolution
 - Graph cuts
 - Variational methods
- Learning MRF parameters.
 - Iterative proportional fitting (IPF)



True joint probability

True joint probability



Initial guess at joint probability



19

IPF update equation, for maximum likelihood estimate of joint probability

 $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)^{(t+1)} = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)^{(t)} \frac{P(x_i)^{\text{observed}}}{P(x_i)^{(t)}}$

Scale the previous iteration's estimate for the joint probability by the ratio of the true to the predicted marginals.

Gives gradient ascent in the likelihood of the joint probability, given the observations of the marginals.

See: Michael Jordan's book on graphical models

Convergence to correct marginals by IPF algorithm



Wednesday, March 9, 2011

Convergence of to correct marginals by IPF algorithm



Wednesday, March 9, 2011
IPF results for this example: comparison of joint probabilities



Application to MRF parameter estimation

• Can show that for the ML estimate of the clique potentials, $\phi_c(x_c)$, the empirical marginals equal the model marginals,

 $\tilde{p}(x_c) = p(x_c)$

• Because the model marginals are proportional to the clique potentials, we have the IPF update rule for $\phi_c(x_c)$, which scales the model marginals to equal the observed marginals:

$$\phi_C^{(t+1)}(x_c) = \phi_c^{(t)}(x_c) \frac{\tilde{p}(x_c)}{p^{(t)}(x_c)}$$

• Performs coordinate ascent in the likelihood of the MRF parameters, given the observed data.

Reference: unpublished notes by Michael Jordan, and by Roweis: http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~roweis/csc412-2004/notes/lec11x.pdf

Learning MRF parameters, labeled data

Iterative proportional fitting lets you make a maximum likelihood estimate a joint distribution from observations of various marginal distributions.

Applied to learning MRF clique potentials:

(1) measure the pairwise marginal statistics (histogram state co-occurrences in labeled training data).

(2) guess the clique potentials (use measured marginals to start).

(3) do inference to calculate the model's marginals for every node pair.

(4) scale each clique potential for each state pair by the empirical over model marginal

Image formation

The structure of ambient light

The structure of ambient light



















Why is there no picture appearing on the paper?



Forsyth & Ponce

Measuring the Plenoptic function

The camera obscura The pinhole camera





pinhole camera demos

Problem Set 7





http://www.foundphotography.com/PhotoThoughts/archives/2005/04/pinhole_camera_2.html

Problem Set 7









2.18 DIFFRACTION LIMITS THE QUALITY OF PINHOLE OPTICS. These three images of a bulb filament were made using pinholes with decreasing size. (A) When the pinhole is relatively large, the image rays are not properly converged, and the image is blurred.
(B) Reducing the size of the pinhole improves the focus. (C) Reducing the size of the pinhole further worsens the focus, due to diffraction. From Ruechardt, 1958.

Wandell, Foundations of Vision, Sinauer, 1995

Playing with pinholes



Two pinholes



Anaglyph pinhole camera





Anaglyph pinhole camera





Anaglyph pinhole camera





Synthesis of new views



Problem set 7

- Build the device
- Take some pictures and put them in the report
- Take anaglyph images
- Calibrate camera
- Recover depth for some points in the image

Cameras, lenses, and calibration

- Camera models
- Projections
- Calibration
- Lenses













Ignore the third coordinate, and get

$$(x,y,z) \rightarrow (f\frac{x}{z},f\frac{y}{z})$$


Wednesday, March 9, 2011

Geometric properties of projection

- Points go to points
- Lines go to lines
- Planes go to whole image or half-planes.
- Polygons go to polygons
- Degenerate cases
 - line through focal point to point
 - plane through focal point to line



$$x(t) = x_0 + at$$
$$y(t) = y_0 + bt$$
$$z(t) = z_0 + ct$$

Perspective projection of that line

$$x(t) = x_0 + at \qquad x'(t) = \frac{fx}{z} = \frac{f(x_0 + at)}{z_0 + ct}$$

$$y(t) = y_0 + bt \qquad y'(t) = \frac{fy}{z} = \frac{f(y_0 + bt)}{z_0 + ct}$$

Perspective projection of that line

$$x(t) = x_0 + at \qquad x'(t) = \frac{fx}{z} = \frac{f(x_0 + at)}{z_0 + ct}$$

$$y(t) = y_0 + bt \qquad y'(t) = \frac{fy}{z} = \frac{f(y_0 + bt)}{z_0 + ct}$$

In the limit as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ we have (for):

Perspective projection of that line

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x_0 + at \\ y(t) &= y_0 + bt \\ z(t) &= z_0 + ct \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} x'(t) &= \frac{fx}{z} = \frac{f(x_0 + at)}{z_0 + ct} \\ y'(t) &= \frac{fy}{z} = \frac{f(y_0 + bt)}{z_0 + ct} \end{aligned}$$



Perspective projection of that line

$$x(t) = x_0 + at \qquad x'(t) = \frac{fx}{z} = \frac{f(x_0 + at)}{z_0 + ct}$$
$$y(t) = y_0 + bt \qquad y'(t) = \frac{fy}{z} = \frac{f(y_0 + bt)}{z_0 + ct}$$

In the limit as $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$ we have (for $c \neq 0$):

This tells us that any set of parallel lines (same a, b, c parameters) project to the same point (called the vanishing point).





















http://www.ider.herts.ac.uk/school/courseware/ graphics/two_point_perspective.html

- Each set of parallel lines (=direction) meets at a different point
 - The vanishing point for this direction
- Sets of parallel lines on the same plane lead to *collinear* vanishing points.
 - The line is called the horizon for that plane



What if you photograph a brick wall head-on?

What if you photograph a brick wall head-on?



Wednesday, March 9, 2011

Brick wall line in 3-space

$$x(t) = x_0 + at$$
$$y(t) = y_0$$
$$z(t) = z_0$$

Brick wall line in 3-space $x(t) = x_0 + at$ $y(t) = y_0$ $z(t) = z_0$ Perspective projection of that line

$$x'(t) = \frac{f \cdot (x_0 + at)}{z_0}$$
$$y'(t) = \frac{f \cdot y_0}{z_0}$$

Brick wall line in 3-space $x(t) = x_0 + at$ $y(t) = y_0$ $z(t) = z_0$ Perspective projection of that line $x'(t) = \frac{f \cdot (x_0 + at)}{z_0}$ $y'(t) = \frac{f \cdot y_0}{z_0}$

All bricks have same z_0 . Those in same row have same y_0

Thus, a brick wall, photographed head-on, gets rendered as set of parallel lines in the image plane.

Other projection models: Orthographic projection



Other projection models: Weak perspective

Issue

- perspective effects, but not over the scale of individual objects
- collect points into a group at about the same depth, then divide each point by the depth of its group
- Adv: easy
- Disadv: only approximate



$$(x, y, z) \rightarrow \left(\frac{fx}{z_0}, \frac{fy}{z_0}\right)$$

Three camera projections

3-d point 2-d image position (1) Perspective: $(x, y, z) \rightarrow \left(\frac{fx}{z}, \frac{fy}{z}\right)$ $(x, y, z) \rightarrow \left(\frac{fx}{z_0}, \frac{fy}{z_0}\right)$ (2) Weak perspective: (3) Orthographic: $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y)$

Homogeneous coordinates

Is the perspective projection a linear transformation?

Homogeneous coordinates

Is the perspective projection a linear transformation?

no-division by z is nonlinear

Homogeneous coordinates

Is the perspective projection a linear transformation?

no—division by z is nonlinear Trick: add one more coordinate:

 $(x, y) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (x, y, z) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ homogeneous image coordinates homogeneous scene coordinates

Converting from homogeneous coordinates

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x/w, y/w, z/w)$$

• Projection is a matrix multiply using homogeneous coordinates:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z/f \end{bmatrix} \implies \left(f \frac{x}{z}, f \frac{y}{z} \right)$$

This is known as perspective projection

• The matrix is the projection matrix

How does scaling the projection matrix change the transformation?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z/f \end{bmatrix} \implies \left(f \frac{x}{z}, f \frac{y}{z} \right)$$

How does scaling the projection matrix change the transformation?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z/f \end{bmatrix} \implies \left(f \frac{x}{z}, f \frac{y}{z} \right)$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

How does scaling the projection matrix change the transformation?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z/f \end{bmatrix} \implies (f \frac{x}{z}, f \frac{y}{z})$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} fx \\ fy \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$

How does scaling the projection matrix change the transformation?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z/f \end{bmatrix} \implies \left(f \frac{x}{z}, f \frac{y}{z} \right)$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} fx \\ fy \\ z \end{bmatrix} \implies \left(f \frac{x}{z}, f \frac{y}{z} \right)$$

Orthographic Projection

Special case of perspective projection

• Distance from the COP to the PP is infinite



- Also called "parallel projection"
- What's the projection matrix?



Orthographic Projection

Special case of perspective projection

Distance from the COP to the PP is infinite



- Also called "parallel projection"
- What's the projection matrix?

?
$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x, y)$$

Orthographic Projection

Special case of perspective projection

• Distance from the COP to the PP is infinite



- Also called "parallel projection"
- What's the projection matrix?
Orthographic Projection

Special case of perspective projection

• Distance from the COP to the PP is infinite



- Also called "parallel projection"
- What's the projection matrix?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow (x, y)$$

Slide by Steve Seitz

Camera calibration

- Use the camera to tell you things about the world:
 - Relationship between coordinates in the world and coordinates in the image: *geometric camera calibration, see* Szeliski, section 5.2, 5.3 for references
 - (Relationship between intensities in the world and intensities in the image: *photometric image formation*, see Szeliski, sect. 2.2.)

One reason to calibrate a camera



Another reason to calibrate a camera









"as described in the coordinates of frame B"

 ${}^{B}\vec{p} = {}^{B}_{A}R {}^{A}\vec{p} + {}^{B}_{A}\vec{t}$



"as described in the coordinates of frame B"

Let's write

 ${}^{B}\vec{p} = {}^{B}_{A}R {}^{A}\vec{p} + {}^{B}_{A}\vec{t}$

as a single matrix equation:



"as described in the coordinates of frame B"

Let's write

 ${}^{B}\vec{p} = {}^{B}_{A}R {}^{A}\vec{p} + {}^{B}_{A}\vec{t}$

as a single matrix equation:





Translation and rotation, written in each set of coordinates

Non-homogeneous coordinates

$${}^{B}\vec{p} = {}^{B}_{A}R {}^{A}\vec{p} + {}^{B}_{A}\vec{t}$$

Translation and rotation, written in each set of coordinates

Non-homogeneous coordinates

$${}^{B}\vec{p} = {}^{B}_{A}R {}^{A}\vec{p} + {}^{B}_{A}\vec{t}$$

Homogeneous coordinates

$${}^{B}\vec{p}={}^{B}_{A}C {}^{A}\vec{p}$$







But "pixels" are in some arbitrary spatial units

$$u = \alpha \frac{x}{z}$$
$$v = \alpha \frac{y}{z}$$



Maybe pixels are not square

$$u = \alpha \frac{x}{z}$$
$$v = \beta \frac{y}{z}$$



We don't know the origin of our camera pixel coordinates

 $u = \alpha \frac{x}{z} + u_0$ $v = \beta \frac{y}{z} + v_0$







May be skew between camera pixel axes

$$u = \alpha \frac{x}{z} - \alpha \cot(\theta) \frac{y}{z} + u_0$$
$$v = \frac{\beta}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{y}{z} + v_0$$





Using homogenous coordinates, we can write this as:



Using homogenous coordinates, we can write this as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot(\theta) & u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin(\theta)} & v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

/ \



Using homogenous coordinates, we can write this as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot(\theta) & u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin(\theta)} & v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

/ \

or:



Using homogenous coordinates, we can write this as:

 $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha \cot(\theta) & u_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta}{\sin(\theta)} & v_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

or:



Ď

In camera-based coords

Wednesday, March 9, 2011

In pixels

Extrinsic parameters: translation and rotation of camera frame

Extrinsic parameters: translation and rotation of camera frame

 ${}^{C}\vec{p} = {}^{C}_{W}R {}^{W}\vec{p} + {}^{C}_{W}\vec{t}$

Non-homogeneous coordinates

Extrinsic parameters: translation and rotation of camera frame

$${}^{C}\vec{p} = {}^{C}_{W}R {}^{W}\vec{p} + {}^{C}_{W}\vec{t}$$



Non-homogeneous coordinates

Homogeneous coordinates

Intrinsic

$$\vec{p} = \mathbf{K} \ \ \vec{p}$$

$${}^{C}\vec{p} = \left[\begin{array}{c} - & - & - \\ - & W R & - \\ - & W R & - \\ - & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \ \mathbf{Extrinsic}$$

$$\mathbf{Extrinsic}$$

Forsyth&Ponce

$$\vec{p} = \mathbf{K} \quad \vec{p}$$

$$\vec{p} = \mathbf{K} \quad \vec{p}$$
World coordinate
$$\vec{p} = \begin{pmatrix} - & - & - & - \\ - & W & R & - & - \\ - & W & R & - & - \\ - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{W} \quad \vec{p}$$
Extrinsic

Forsyth&Ponce



Forsyth&Ponce



Forsyth&Ponce



$$\vec{p} = K \begin{pmatrix} C & R & C \\ W & W & W \end{pmatrix} \quad \stackrel{W}{p}$$

Forsyth&Ponce



$$\vec{p} = K \begin{pmatrix} C \\ W \end{pmatrix} R & C \\ W \end{pmatrix} \quad W \vec{p}$$

$$\vec{p} = M^{W}\vec{p}$$

Forsyth&Ponce



$$\vec{p} = K \begin{pmatrix} C & C & C \\ W & W & W \end{pmatrix} \overset{W}{p}$$
$$\vec{p} = M \overset{W}{p}$$

Forsyth&Ponce



$$\vec{p} = K \begin{pmatrix} C R & C \vec{t} \\ W & W & W \end{pmatrix} \overset{W}{p}$$
$$\vec{p} = M \overset{W}{p}$$

Forsyth&Ponce

Other ways to write the same equation

pixel coordinates


Calibration target



The Opti-CAL Calibration Target Image Find the position, u_i and v_i , in pixels, of each calibration object feature point.

http://www.kinetic.bc.ca/CompVision/opti-CAL.html

From before, we had these equations relating image positions, u,v, to points at 3-d positions P (in homogeneous coordinates):

$$u = \frac{m_1 \times \vec{P}}{m_3 \times \vec{P}}$$
$$v = \frac{m_2 \times \vec{P}}{m_3 \times \vec{P}}$$

From before, we had these equations relating image positions, u,v, to points at 3-d positions P (in homogeneous coordinates):

$$u = \frac{m_1 \times \vec{P}}{m_3 \times \vec{P}}$$
$$v = \frac{m_2 \times \vec{P}}{m_3 \times \vec{P}}$$

So for each feature point, i, we have:

$$(m_1 - u_i m_3) \times \vec{P}_i = 0$$
$$(m_2 - v_i m_3) \times \vec{P}_i = 0$$

Stack all these measurements of i=1...n points

$$(m_1 - u_i m_3) \times \vec{P}_i = 0$$
$$(m_2 - v_i m_3) \times \vec{P}_i = 0$$
into a big matrix:

Stack all these measurements of i=1...n points

$$(m_1 - u_i m_3) \times \vec{P}_i = 0$$
$$(m_2 - v_i m_3) \times \vec{P}_i = 0$$

into a big matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1^T & 0^T & -u_1 P_1^T \\ 0^T & P_1^T & -v_1 P_1^T \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ P_n^T & 0^T & -u_n P_n^T \\ 0^T & P_n^T & -v_n P_n^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{1x} & P_{1y} & P_{1z} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_{1}P_{1x} & -u_{1}P_{1y} & -u_{1}P_{1z} & -u_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{1x} & P_{1y} & P_{1z} & 1 & -v_{1}P_{1x} & -v_{1}P_{1z} & -v_{1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P_{nx} & P_{ny} & P_{nz} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_{n}P_{nx} & -u_{n}P_{ny} & -u_{n}P_{nz} & -u_{n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & P_{nx} & P_{ny} & P_{nz} & 1 & -v_{n}P_{nx} & -v_{n}P_{ny} & -v_{n}P_{nz} & -v_{n} \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} \\ m_{22} \\ m_{23} \\ m_{34} \\ m_{31} \\ m_{32} \\ m_{33} \\ m_{34} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We want to solve for the unit vector m (the stacked one) that minimizes $|Qm|^2$

The minimum eigenvector of the matrix $Q^{T}Q$ gives us that (see Forsyth&Ponce, 3.1), because it is the unit vector x that minimizes $x^{T} Q^{T}Q x$.

Once you have the M matrix, can recover the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters.

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mathbf{r}_1^T - \alpha \cot \theta \mathbf{r}_2^T + u_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \alpha t_x - \alpha \cot \theta t_y + u_0 t_z \\ \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \mathbf{r}_2^T + v_0 \mathbf{r}_3^T & \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} t_y + v_0 t_z \\ \mathbf{r}_3^T & t_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Why do we need lenses?



Animal Eyes



Fig. 1.6 A patch of light sensitive epithelium can be gradually turned into a perfectly focussed cameratype eye if there is a continuous selection for improved spatial vision. A theoretical model based on conservative assumptions about selection pressure and the amount of variation in natural populations suggest that the whole sequence can be accomplished amazingly fast, in less than 400 000 generations. The number of generations is also given between each of the consecutive intermediates that are drawn in the figure. The starting point is a flat piece of epithelium with an outer protective layer, an intermediate layer of receptor cells, and a bottom layer of pigment cells. The first half of the sequence is the formation of a pigment cup eye. When this principle cannot be improved any further, a lens gradually evolves. Modified from Nilsson and Pelger (1994).

Animal Eyes. Land & Nilsson. Oxford Univ. Press



Refraction: Snell's law



For small angles, $n_1 \alpha_1 \approx n_2 \alpha_2$

Spherical lens





Forsyth and Ponce

First order optics

 $\sin(\theta) \approx \theta$



Paraxial refraction equation



$$\alpha_1 = \gamma + \beta_1 \approx h \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{d_1} \right)$$

Relates distance from sphere and sphere radius to bending angles of light ray, for lens with one spherical surface.

$$n_1 \alpha_1 \approx n_2 \alpha_2$$

Paraxial refraction equation



$$\alpha_1 = \gamma + \beta_1 \approx h \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{d_1} \right)$$

Relates distance from sphere and sphere radius to bending angles of light ray, for lens with one spherical surface.

$$n_1 \alpha_1 \approx n_2 \alpha_2$$

Paraxial refraction equation



$$\alpha_1 = \gamma + \beta_1 \approx h \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{d_1} \right)$$

Relates distance from sphere and sphere radius to bending angles of light ray, for lens with one spherical surface.

$$n_1 \alpha_1 \approx n_2 \alpha_2$$

Deriving the lensmaker's formula $a_{i} = h\left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{d_{i}}\right)$ smallangle approx N19, = N292 snell's law geometry Q2 = 28 - 93 91 Qz Suell's law 1293 = n, 94 $q_4 = h_1 \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{q_2} \right)$ smallangle x= h small angle $u_{i}q_{i} = u_{2}\left(\frac{2h}{R} - \frac{n_{i}}{n_{i}} q_{4}\right) = h\left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{q_{i}}\right)$ letn=1, nz=n (and his $n\left(\frac{2}{n}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{d}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{d}$ $\frac{2n}{R} - \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{d_2} = \frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{d_1}$ "Lens maker's formula $2(n-1) = \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2}$

The thin lens, first order optics



The lensmaker's equation:



What camera projection model applies for a thin lens?

What camera projection model applies for a thin lens?

The perspective projection of a pinhole camera. But note that many more of the rays leaving from P arrive at P'



Lens demonstration

- Verify:
 - Focusing property
 - Lens maker's equation

More accurate models of real lenses

- Finite lens thickness
- Higher order approximation to $sin(\theta)$
- Chromatic aberration
- Vignetting

Thick lens



Figure 1.11 A simple thick lens with two spherical surfaces.

Forsyth&Ponce

Third order optics

 $\sin(\theta) \approx \theta - \frac{\theta}{6}$



Paraxial refraction equation, 3rd order optics



$$\frac{n_1}{d_1} + \frac{n_2}{d_2} = \frac{n_2 - n_1}{R} + h^2 \left[\frac{n_1}{2d_1} \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{d_1} \right)^2 + \frac{n_2}{2d_2} \left(\frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{d_2} \right)^2 \right]$$

Forsyth&Ponce

Spherical aberration (from 3rd order optics



Other 3rd order effects

• Coma, astigmatism, field curvature, distortion.







Lens systems can be designed to correct for aberrations described by 3rd order optics

Forsyth&Ponce

Vignetting



Forsyth&Ponce

Chromatic aberration

(desirable for prisms, bad for lenses)



Other (possibly annoying) phenomena

- Chromatic aberration
 - Light at different wavelengths follows different paths; hence, some wavelengths are defocussed
 - Machines: coat the lens
 - Humans: live with it
- Scattering at the lens surface
 - Some light entering the lens system is reflected off each surface it encounters (Fresnel's law gives details)
 - Machines: coat the lens, interior
 - Humans: live with it (various scattering phenomena are visible in the human eye)

Summary

- Want to make images
- Pinhole camera models the geometry of perspective projection
- Lenses make it work in practice
- Models for lenses
 - Thin lens, spherical surfaces, first order optics
 - Thick lens, higher-order optics, vignetting.