MIT CSAIL

6.869: Advances in Computer Vision

MIT COMPUTER VISION

#### Lecture 25

Scene recognition

#### The texture









## The detector challenge



By looking at the output of a detector on a random set of images, can you guess which object is it trying to detect?

## What object is the detector trying to detect?



By looking at the output of a detector on a random set of images, can you guess which object is it trying to detect?

## What object is the detector trying to detect?



By looking at the output of a detector on a random set of images, can you guess which object is it trying to detect?













#### Top 8 out of 4317 images

P. Felzenszwalb, D. McAllester, and D. Ramanan. CVPR, 2008

#### Microwave & refrigerator

















#### Top 8 out of 4317 images

### What object is hidden behind the red box?





## **Objects in context**

Torralba, Sinha (2001)



Fink & Perona (2003)





B. face feature from raw image a



#### Kumar, Hebert (2005)



Carbonetto, de Freitas & Barnard (2004)



Sudderth, Torralba, Wilsky, Freeman (2005)



Heitz and Koller (2008)



Torralba Murphy Freeman (2004)



#### Rabinovich et al (2007)



Desai, Ramanan, and Fowlkes (2009)

Camera

Camera Height

Hoiem, Efros, Hebert (2005)

3D Object

Object World Height

3D Ohia

Horizo



## Increasing the context strength







## Scenes rule over objects



3D percept is driven by the scene, which imposes its ruling to the objects

## Scene views vs. objects



By scene we mean a place in which a human can act within, or a place to which a human being could navigate. Scenes are a lot more than just a combination of objects (just as objects are more than the combinations of their parts). Like objects, scenes are associated with specific functions and behaviors, such as eating in a restaurant, drinking in a pub, reading in a library, and sleeping in a bedroom.

## Scene views vs. objects

#### A photograph of a firehydrant



#### A photograph of a street



## Scene Categorization

#### Oliva and Torralba, 2001















Coast

Forest Highway

Inside City

Mountain

Open Country

Street

Tall Building

#### Fei Fei and Perona, 2005













Office

Suburb

15 Scene

Database

Lazebnik, Schmid, and Ponce, 2006



Industrial



## Mary Potter (1976)

Mary Potter (1975, 1976) demonstrated that during a rapid sequential visual presentation (100 msec per image), a novel picture is instantly **understood** and observers seem to comprehend a lot of visual information





#### **Demo : Rapid image understanding** By Aude Oliva

# Instructions: 9 photographs will be shown for half a second each. Your task is to memorize these pictures



















## **Memory Test**

Which of the following pictures have you seen ?

## If you have seen the image clap your hands once

If you have not seen the image do nothing



## Have you seen this picture ?






















#### You have seen these pictures



\_\_\_\_

#### You were tested with these pictures



## The gist of the scene

In a glance, we remember the meaning of an image and its global layout but some objects and details are forgotten





## Which are the important elements?

Ceiling	Ceiling Lamp	wall
_ Door Door	Painting mirror	painting
Door Wall Door Wall Door Wall Door	wall	wall Lamp
Floor	Fireplace armchair armchair	phone Bed alarm
	amenan	Side-table
	Coffee table	carpet

Different content (i.e. objects), different spatial layout

## Which are the important elements?

cabinets ceiling cabinets	cabinets ceiling cabinets	ceiling
window window window seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat	window seat seat window seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat	wall screen seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat seat

Similar objects, and similar spatial layout

Different lighting, different materials, different "stuff"

# What can be an alternative to objects?

## Scene emergent features

"Recognition via features that are not those of individual objects but "emerge" as objects are brought into relation to each other to form a scene." – Biederman 81



FIG. 8.23. Downtown Buffalo. Drawn by Robert Mezzanotte by converting objects in a photograph to basic rectilinear or cylindrical bodies.

FIG. 8.24. Office, drawn by Robert Mezzanotte.

From "on the semantics of a glance at a scene", Biederman, 1981

#### Examples of scene emergent features



Suggestive edges and junctions





Simple geometric forms



Oliva & Torralba, 2001

Textures ~ Sketch

Blobs

#### **Ensemble statistics**

Ariely, 2001, Seeing sets: Representation by statistical properties Chong, Treisman, 2003, Representation of statistical properties Alvarez, Oliva, 2008, 2009, Spatial ensemble statistics



Conclusion: observers had more accurate representation of the mean than of the individual members of the set.

#### Global image descriptors

# Global image descriptors

#### Bag of words



Sivic et. al., ICCV 2005 Fei-Fei and Perona, CVPR 2005

#### Non localized textons



Walker, Malik. Vision Research 2004

#### Spatially organized textures





M. Gorkani, R. Picard, ICPR 1994 A. Oliva, A. Torralba, IJCV 2001



R. Datta, D. Joshi, J. Li, and J. Z. Wang, Image Retrieval: Ideas, Influences, and Trends of the New Age, *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 5:1-60, 2008.

## Gist descriptor

Oliva and Torralba, 2001



- Apply oriented Gabor filters over different scales
- Average filter energy in each bin

- 8 orientations
- 4 scales
- <u>x 16</u> bins
- 512 dimensions

Similar to SIFT (Lowe 1999) applied to the entire image

M. Gorkani, R. Picard, ICPR 1994; Walker, Malik. Vision Research 2004; Vogel et al. 2004; Fei-Fei and Perona, CVPR 2005; S. Lazebnik, et al, CVPR 2006; ...

## Gist descriptor



## Gist descriptor



### Example visual gists



Global features (I) ~ global features (I')

#### **Global features**



"The viewer is presented with a 'potential image', that is, a complex multiplicity of possible images, none of which ever finally resolves".

#### Textons



Vector of filter responses at each pixel

Kmeans over a set of vectors on a collection of images



Filter bank

Malik, Belongie, Shi, Leung, 1999

#### Textons





K-means (100 clusters)



Malik, Belongie, Shi, Leung, 1999



# Bag of words







Spatially organized textures

7 8 0 0 0 2 0 0 7 0 4 0 20 0 0 0 11 1 0 2 14 0 3 3 3 0 12 4 0 0 4 16 3 6 0 11

# Bag of words & spatial pyramid matching

Sivic, Zisserman, 2003. Visual words = Kmeans of SIFT descriptors



S. Lazebnik, et al, CVPR 2006

## **Histogram Intersection**

Histogram intersection

$$\mathcal{I}(H(\mathbf{X}), H(\mathbf{Y})) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \min(H(\mathbf{X})_j, H(\mathbf{Y})_j)$$

 $\mathbf{n}$ 



Adapted from Kristen Grauman

## SVM

A Support Vector Machine (SVM) learns a classifier with the form:

$$H(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} a_m y_m k(x, x_m)$$

Where  $\{x_m, y_m\}$ , for  $m = 1 \dots M$ , are the training data with  $x_m$  being the input feature vector and  $y_m = +1, -1$  the class label.  $k(x, x_m)$  is the kernel and it can be any symmetric function satisfying the Mercer Theorem.

The classification is obtained by thresholding the value of H(x).

There is a large number of possible kernels, each yielding a different family of decision boundaries:

- Linear kernel:  $k(x, x_m) = x^T x_m$
- Radial basis function:  $k(x, x_m) = exp(-|x x_m|^2/\sigma^2)$ .
- Histogram intersection: k(x,x<sub>m</sub>) = sum<sub>i</sub>(min(x(i), x<sub>m</sub>(i)))

## Learning Scene Categorization



#### The 15-scenes benchmark



Oliva & Torralba, 2001 Fei Fei & Perona, 2005 Lazebnik, et al 2006



Office



Skyscrapers









Forest



Living room



Industrial



Street



Highway



Mountain Open country





Store



## Scene recognition



# **SUN Dataset Project**

We want:

- Large variety of scene categories (we want them all)
- Lots of objects categories
- Multi-object scenes

1. We take all scene words from a dictionary



2. We download images and clean the categories



3. We segment all the images





#### Krista Ehinger





Xiao, Hays, Ehinger, Oliva, Torralba; CVPR 2010

#### Jianxiong Xiao

#### IIICK

## 397 Well-sampled Categories


#### Performance with 400 categories



Xiao, Hays, Ehinger, Oliva, Torralba; maybe 2010

#### Training images

Abbey

Airplane cabin

Airport terminal

Alley

#### Amphitheater





#### Training images Correct classifications

Abbey

Airplane cabin

Airport terminal

Alley

Amphitheater



Xiao, Hays, Ehinger, Oliva, Torralba; maybe 2010

#### Training images **Correct classifications Miss-classifications**

Abbey

Airplane cabin

#### Airport terminal

Alley

#### Amphitheater



Xiao, Hays, Ehinger, Oliva, Torralba; maybe 2010

#### Categories or a continuous space?



Check poster by Malisiewicz, Efros

#### Categories or a continuous space?

From the city to the mountains in 10 steps



**Objects in context** 



## Is local information enough?



#### Is local information even enough?

#### Is local information even enough?







# The system does not care about the scene, but we do...

We know there is a keyboard present in this scene even if we cannot see it clearly.



We know there is no keyboard present in this scene



#### The multiple personalities of a blob









#### The multiple personalities of a blob



















A 13 C 

### Look-Alikes by Joan Steiner



# Look-Alikes by Joan Steiner



#### Look-Alikes by Joan Steiner



# The importance of context

- Cognitive psychology
  - Palmer 1975
  - Biederman 1981



- Computer vision
  - Noton and Stark (1971)
  - Hanson and Riseman (1978)
  - Barrow & Tenenbaum (1978)
  - Ohta, kanade, Skai (1978)
  - Haralick (1983)
  - Strat and Fischler (1991)
  - Bobick and Pinhanez (1995)
  - Campbell et al (1997)

Class	Context elements	Operator
SKY	ALWAYS	ABOVE-HORIZON
SKY	SKY-IS-CLEAR ∧ TIME-IS-DAY	BRIGHT
SKY	SKY-IS-CLEAR ∧ TIME-IS-DAY	UNTEXTURED
SKY	SKY-IS-CLEAR ∧ TIME-IS-DAY ∧ RGB-IS-AVAILABLE	BLUE
SKY	SKY-IS-OVERCAST ∧ TIME-IS-DAY	BRIGHT
SKY	SKY-IS-OVERCAST ∧ TIME-IS-DAY	UNTEXTURED
SKY	SKY-IS-OVERCAST ∧ TIME-IS-DAY ∧	WHITE
	RGB-IS-AVAILABLE	
SKY	SPARSE-RANGE-IS-AVAILABLE	SPARSE-RANGE-IS-UNDEFINED
SKY	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL	NEAR-TOP
SKY	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL A	ABOVE-SKYLINE
	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(complete-sky)	
SKY	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(sky)	SIMILAR-INTENSITY
SKY	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(sky)	SIMILAR-TEXTURE
SKY	RGB-IS-AVAILABLE A CLIQUE-CONTAINS(sky)	SIMILAR-COLOR
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL	HORIZONTALLY-STRIATED
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL	NEAR-BOTTOM
GROUND	SPARSE-RANGE-IS-AVAILABLE	SPARSE-RANGES-FORM-HORIZONT
GROUND	DENSE-RANGE-IS-AVAILABLE	DENSE-RANGES-FORM-HORIZONTA
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL A	BELOW-SKYLINE
	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(complete-ground)	
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL A	BELOW-GEOMETRIC-HORIZON
	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(geometric-horizon) </td <td></td>	
	- CLIQUE-CONTAINS(skyline)	
GROUND	TIME-IS-DAY	DARK

## **Objects and Scenes**

Stimuli from Hock, Romanski, Galie, and Williams (1978).



Biederman's violations (1981):

- 1. Support (e.g., a floating fire hydrant). The object does not appear to be resting on a surface.
- Interposition (e.g., the background appearing through the hydrant). The objects undergoing this
  violation appear to be transparent or passing through another object.
- 3. Probability (e.g., the hydrant in a kitchen). The object is unlikely to appear in the scene.
- Position (e.g., the fire hydrant on top of a mailbox in a street scene). The object is likely to occur in that scene, but it is unlikely to be in that particular position.
- 5. Size (e.g., the fire hydrant appearing larger than a building). The object appears to be too large or too small relative to the other objects in the scene.

## **CONDOR** system

Strat and Fischler (1991)

Class	Context elements	Operator
SKY	ALWAYS	ABOVE-HORIZON
SKY	SKY-IS-CLEAR ^ TIME-IS-DAY	BRIGHT
SKY	SKY-IS-CLEAR    TIME-IS-DAY	UNTEXTURED
SKY	SKY-IS-CLEAR ∧ TIME-IS-DAY ∧ RGB-IS-AVAILABLE	BLUE
SKY	SKY-IS-OVERCAST ∧ TIME-IS-DAY	BRIGHT
SKY	SKY-IS-OVERCAST ∧ TIME-IS-DAY	UNTEXTURED
SKY	SKY-IS-OVERCAST ∧ TIME-IS-DAY ∧	WHITE
	RGB-IS-AVAILABLE	
SKY	SPARSE-RANGE-IS-AVAILABLE	SPARSE-RANGE-IS-UNDEFINED
SKY	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL	NEAR-TOP
SKY	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL $\land$	ABOVE-SKYLINE
	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(complete-sky)	
SKY	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(sky)	SIMILAR-INTENSITY
SKY	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(sky)	SIMILAR-TEXTURE
SKY	RGB-IS-AVAILABLE A CLIQUE-CONTAINS(sky)	SIMILAR-COLOR
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL	HORIZONTALLY-STRIATED
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL	NEAR-BOTTOM
GROUND	SPARSE-RANGE-IS-AVAILABLE	SPARSE-RANGES-FORM-HORIZONT
GROUND	DENSE-RANGE-IS-AVAILABLE	DENSE-RANGES-FORM-HORIZONTA
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL $\land$	BELOW-SKYLINE
	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(complete-ground)	
GROUND	CAMERA-IS-HORIZONTAL A	BELOW-GEOMETRIC-HORIZON
	CLIQUE-CONTAINS(geometric-horizon) $\land$	
GROUND	TIME-IS-DAY	DARK
Guzman (SEE) 1968     Brooks (ACRONYM 1979		
<ul> <li>Noton and Stark 1971</li> <li>Marr 1982</li> </ul>		

- Hansen & Riseman (VISIONS), 1978
- Barrow & Tenenbaum 1978

- Ohta & Kanade, 1978
- Yakimovsky & Feldman, 1973

# An Age of Scene Understanding





(b) Top-down process [Ohta & Kanade 1978]



(c) Result

- Guzman (*SEE*), 1968
- Noton and Stark 1971
- Hansen & Riseman (VISIONS), 1978
- Barrow & Tenenbaum 1978

- Brooks (ACRONYM), 1979
- Marr, 1982
- Ohta & Kanade, 1978
- Yakimovsky & Feldman, 1973













#### **Context models**





Objects are correlated via the scene



Dependencies among objects

#### **Context models**







Dependencies among objects

#### **Global precedence**

Spart P

Forest Before Trees: The Precedence of Global Features in Visual Perception Navon (1977)



### Global and local representations



## Global and local representations



#### An integrated model of Scenes, Objects, and Parts



# Context-based vision system for place and object recognition



- Hidden states = location (63 values)
- Observations =  $v_t^G$  (80 dimensions)
- Transition matrix encodes topology of environment
- Observation model is a mixture of Gaussians centered on prototypes (100 views per place)

# Our mobile rig





Torralba, Murphy, Freeman, Rubin. 2003
# Place recognition demo



#### Identification and categorization of known places



## An integrated model of Scenes, Objects, and Parts





Murphy, Torralba, Freeman; NIPS 2003. Torralba, Murphy, Freeman, CACM 2010.

# Application of object detection for image retrieval

#### Results using the keyboard detector alone



# Application of object detection for image retrieval



#### Object retrieval: scene features vs. detector

#### Results using the keyboard detector alone



Results using both the detector and the global scene features





Murphy, Torralba, Freeman; NIPS 2003. Torralba, Murphy, Freeman, CACM 2010.

# Localizing the object



### An integrated model of Scenes, Objects, and Parts





# Predicting object location



#### **Predicting location**















Torralba & Sinha, 2001; Murphy, Torralba, Freeman, 2003; Hoeim, Efros, Hebert. 2006

#### screens









keyboard





car





pedestrian

# An integrated model of Scenes, Objects, and Parts



We train a multiview car detector.





$$p(d | F=1) = N(d | \mu_1, \sigma_1)$$
  
 $p(d | F=0) = N(d | \mu_0, \sigma_0)$ 

### An integrated model of Scenes, Objects, and Parts







a) input image

b) car detector output

c) location priming

c) integrated model output

### Two tasks



#### A car out of context ...



#### A car out of context ...



### 3d Scene Context



Hoiem, Efros, Hebert ICCV 2005

## 3d Scene Context



Hoiem, Efros, Hebert ICCV 2005

#### 3D City Modeling using Cognitive Loops



Figure 6. Stages of the recognition system: (a) initial detections before and (b) after applying ground plane constraints, (c) temporal integration on reconstructed map, (d) estimated 3D car locations, rendered back into the original image.

N. Cornelis, B. Leibe, K. Cornelis, L. Van Gool. CVPR'06

#### **Context models**





Objects are correlated via the scene



Dependencies among objects



1) Generate candidate objects (run a detector, or segmentation)

M possible object labels N regions

Label:  $c_k = [1...M]$  with k = [1...N]Scores:  $s_k$  = vector length M

2) For each candidate, get a list of possible interpretations with their probabilities

 $p(c_k = m \mid s_k)$ 

 Goal: to assign labels c<sub>k</sub> to each candidate so that they are in contextual agreement. We want to optimize the joint probability of all the labels:

$$p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N | s_1, ..., s_N)$$

**Goal**: to assign labels c<sub>k</sub> to each candidate so that they are in contextual agreement.

M possible object labels N regions

Label:  $c_k = [1...M]$  with k = [1...N]Scores:  $s_k$  = vector length M



We want to optimize the joint probability of all the labels:

 $p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N | s_1, ..., s_N)$ 

Solution 1: Assume objects are independent:

**ng** 
$$p(c_1=m_1,..., c_N=m_N|s_1,..., s_N) = \prod_{i=1...N} p(c_i=m_i|s_i)$$



Independent model

**Problem**: it does not makes use of the correlation between objects in the world. This is fine if the detectors are perfect.

**Goal**: to assign labels c<sub>k</sub> to each candidate so that they are in contextual agreement.

M possible object labels N regions

Label:  $c_k = [1...M]$  with k = [1...N]Scores:  $s_k$  = vector length M



We want to optimize the joint probability of all the labels:

 $p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N \mid s_1, ..., s_N)$ 

Solution 2: Assume objects are fully dependent:

 $p(c_1=m_1,..., c_N=m_N|s_1,..., s_N) =$ 

=

 $\frac{p(s_1,...,s_N|c_1=m_1,...,c_N=m_N) p(c_1=m_1,...,c_N=m_N)}{Z(s_1,...,s_N)}$ 

$$\prod_{i=1...N} p(s_i | c_i = m_i) p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N)$$

 $Z(s_1, \dots, s_N) = \sum_{\text{All } [c_1, \dots, c_N]} \prod_{\text{assignments}} p(s_i | c_i = m_i) p(c_1 = m_1, \dots, c_N = m_N)$ 

 $Z(S_1,\ldots,S_N)$ 

**Problem**: learning  $p(c_1=m_1,...,c_N=m_N)$  will need a lot of data. Recognition can be slow.

c3

**Goal**: to assign labels c<sub>k</sub> to each candidate so that they are in contextual agreement.

M possible object labels N regions

Label:  $c_k = [1...M]$  with k = [1...N]Scores:  $s_k$  = vector length M



We want to optimize the joint probability of all the labels:

 $p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N \mid s_1, ..., s_N)$ 

**Solution 3**: Approximated model of dependencies:

$$p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N | s_1, ..., s_N) = \prod_{i=1...N} p(s_i | c_i = m_i) p(c_1 = m_1, ..., c_N = m_N) Z(s_1, ..., s_N)$$

$$p(c_1=m_1,\ldots,c_N=m_N) = exp(\sum_{i,j=1\ldots N} \Phi(c_i=m_i, c_j=m_j))$$

 $\Phi(c_i=m_i, c_j=m_j) = co-ocurrence matrix on training set (count how many times two objects appear together).$ 

**Problem**: learning  $p(c_1=m_1,...,c_N=m_N)$  will be easier, but recognition may still be slow.

 $\Phi(c_i=m_i, c_j=m_j) = \text{co-ocurrence matrix on}$ training set (count how many times two objects appear together).

#### MSRC training data



A. Rabinovich, A. Vedaldi, C. Galleguillos, E. Wiewiora and S. Belongie. Objects in Context. ICCV 2007



135 A. Rabinovich, A. Vedaldi, C. Galleguillos, E. Wiewiora and S. Belongie. Objects in Context. ICCV 2007

#### **Objects in context**

Torralba, Sinha (2001)



Fink & Perona (2003)





B. face feature from raw image a



#### Kumar, Hebert (2005)



Carbonetto, de Freitas & Barnard (2004)



Sudderth, Torralba, Wilsky, Freeman (2005)



Heitz and Koller (2008)



Torralba Murphy Freeman (2004)



#### Rabinovich et al (2007)



Desai, Ramanan, and Fowlkes (2009)

Camera

Camera Height

Hoiem, Efros, Hebert (2005)

3D Object

Object World Height

3D Ohia

Horizo



# **Object-Object Relationships**

- Fink & Perona (NIPS 03)
- Use output of boosting from other objects at previous iterations as input into boosting for this iteration



Figure 5: A-E. Emerging features of eyes, mouths and faces (presented on windows of raw images for legibility). The windows' scale is defined by the detected object size and by the map mode (local or contextual). C. faces are detected using face detection maps H<sup>Face</sup>, exploiting the fact that faces tend to be horizontally aligned.

# Pixel labeling using MRFs

Enforce consistency between neighboring labels, and between labels and pixels

$$P(L,x) = P(L)P(x|L) = \left[\frac{1}{Z}\prod_{i}\prod_{j\in N_i}\psi_{ij}(L_i,L_j)\right]\left[\prod_{i}P(x_i|L_i)\right]$$



Carbonetto, de Freitas & Barnard, ECCV'04

# Beyond nearest-neighbor grids

- Most MRF/CRF models assume nearestneighbor graph topology
- This cannot capture long-distance correlations







### Dynamically structured trees

• Each node pick its parents (Storkey& Williams, PAMI'03)



• 2D SCFGs

(Pollak, Siskind, Harper & Bouman ICASSP'03)



### **Object-Object Relationships**

Use latent variables to induce long distance correlations between labels in a Conditional Random Field (CRF)





#### He, Zemel & Carreira-Perpinan (04)

# **Object-Object Relationships**



[Kumar Hebert 2005]

# 3d Scene Context



### Using stuff to find things

Heitz and Koller, ECCV 2008

In this work, there is not labeling for stuff. Instead, they look for clusters of textures and model how each cluster correlates with the target object.


# What where and who? Classifying events by scene and object recognition



L-J Li & L. Fei-Fei, ICCV 2007









#### Slide by Fei-fei

L.-J. Li & L. Fei-Fei ICCV 2007

## Grammars



[Ohta & Kanade 1978]



- Guzman (SEE), 1968
- Noton and Stark 1971
- Hansen & Riseman (*VISIONS*), 1978
- Barrow & Tenenbaum 1978
- Brooks (ACRONYM), 1979
- Marr, 1982
- Yakimovsky & Feldman, 1973

### Grammars for objects and scenes



S.C. Zhu and D. Mumford. A Stochastic Grammar of Images. Foundations and Trends in Computer Graphics and Vision, 2006.

#### Who needs context anyway? We can recognize objects even out of context



Banksy