# Lecture 19 Statistical Models of Images

6.869/6.819 Advances in Computer Vision

Bill Freeman, Phillip Isola

spring 2022

April 12, 2022

The visual system seems to be tuned to a particular subset of all possible images:

Demo inspired from D. Field

#### Remember each of these images

#### Was this one of them?



#### Remember each of these images

Test 2

#### Was this one of them?



The visual system is tuned to process structures typically found in the world.

### Statistical modeling of images



















# Statistical Image Models

- Gaussian image model
  - image synthesis
  - Wiener filter denoising
- Kurtotic wavelets model
  - image synthesis
  - Bayesian denoising
- Non-parametric MRF model
  - image synthesis (Efros and Leung texture model)
  - Non-local means denoising

# Statistical Image Models—Readings

- Gaussian image model
  - image synthesis
  - Wiener filter denoising
- Kurtotic wavelets model
  - image synthesis
  - Bayesian denoising

#### Non-parametric MRF model

- image synthesis (Efros and Leung texture model)
- Non-local means denoising http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.374.7899&rep=rep1&type=pdf

Optional additions to the chapter notes.

#### Simoncelli paper

https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ ee55/814e8705f5e8cf664efb66c31c0ea6372d92.pdf

inspiration for Gatys et al image stylization

# Statistical Image Models

- Gaussian image model
  - image synthesis
  - Wiener filter denoising
- Kurtotic wavelets model
  - image synthesis
  - Bayesian denoising
- Non-parametric MRF model
  - image synthesis (Efros and Leung texture model)
  - Non-local means denoising

# Statistical modeling of images



### Oth image model: independent pixels

$$p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$$

#### **Assumptions**:

- Independence: All pixels are independent.
- Stationarity: The distribution of pixel intensities does not depend on image location.

# $p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$ Fitting the model



## Sampling new images

 $p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod_{x,y} p(\mathbf{I}(x,y))$ 



Sample

# Sampling new images

 $p(\mathbf{I}) = \prod p(\mathbf{I}(x, y))$ x,y



Sample

#### Oth model



#### First model: include pixel correlations



#### $C(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) \ \mathbf{I}(x, y)]$

 $C(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) \ \mathbf{I}(x, y)]$ 







 $\Delta = 2$ 









By the Wiener-Khinchin theorem, the Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function of the image is the power spectrum of the image, so...

#### A remarkable property of natural images





D. J. Field, "Relations between the statistics of natural images and the response properties of cortical cells," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **4**, 2379- (1987)

#### A remarkable property of natural images



#### Gaussian image model: specifies the power spectrum of the image

We want a distribution that captures the correlation structure typical of natural images.

Let **C** be the covariance matrix of the image:  $p(\mathbf{I}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^{T}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right) \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ c_{n-1} & c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \vdots \\ & c_{n-1} & c_{0} & c_{1} & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & c_{2} \\ & & & & c_{1} \\ c_{1} & \cdots & c_{n-1} & c_{0} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Stationarity assumption: Symmetrical circulant matrix

Diagonalization of circulant matrices: C = EDE<sup>⊤</sup>

The eigenvectors are the Fourier basis

The eigenvalues are the squared magnitude of the Fourier coefficients



# Sampling new images

 $p(\mathbf{I}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^T\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right)$ 









# Sampling new images





Randomizing the phase (if you fit the Gaussian image model to each of the images in the top row, then draw another random sample, you get the bottom row)





# Image model application: Denoising

#### Decomposition of a noisy image





# Denoising

#### Decomposition of a noisy image



White Gaussian noise:  $N(0, \sigma_n^2)$  Natural image

Find I(x,y) that maximizes the posterior (maximum a posteriori, MAP):

$$\max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{I}_n) = \max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I}) \times p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I})$$
ikelihood
### Denoising

#### Decomposition of a noisy image



White Gaussian noise:  $N(0, \sigma_n^2)$  Natural image

Find I(x,y) that maximizes the posterior (maximum a posteriori, MAP):

$$\max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{I}_n) = \max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I}) \times p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I})$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{I}} \exp(-|\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{I}|^2 / \sigma_n^2) \times \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right) \right]$$

### Denoising

$$\max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}|\mathbf{I}_n) = \max_{\mathbf{I}} p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I}) \times p(\mathbf{I}_n|\mathbf{I})$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{I}} \exp(-|\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{I}|^2 / \sigma_n^2) \times \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{I}\right) \right]$$

The solution is:

$$\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C}+\sigma_{n}^{2}\mathbb{I}
ight)^{-1}\mathbf{I}_{n}$$
 (note this is a linear operation)

This can also be written in the Fourier domain, with  $C = EDE^{T}$ :

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{I}}(v) = \frac{A/|v|^{2\alpha}}{A/|v|^{2\alpha} + \sigma_n^2} \widetilde{\mathbf{I}}_n(v)$$

#### Decomposition of a noisy image





 $\frac{A/|v|^{2\alpha}}{A/|v|^{2\alpha}+\sigma_n^2}$ 











The true decomposition:





The estimated decomposition:





And we got all this from just modeling the correlation between pairs of pixels!

### Statistical Image Models

- Gaussian image model
  - image synthesis
  - Wiener filter denoising
- Kurtotic wavelets model
  - image synthesis
  - Bayesian denoising

**Extensions**:

- (1) Instead of using basis functions with global support (Fourier basis functions), we'll use localized filters.
- (2) Instead of looking at average power values of the basis functions, we'll examine the full marginal distribution (the histogram of their responses), generalizing to non-Gaussian distributions. Non-parametric MRF model
  - image synthesis (Efros and Leung texture model)
  - Non-local means denoising

### **Observation: Sparse filter response**





C C 22

(100)

ĥ

100

70

150

270

ach

200



#### A model for the distribution of filter outputs



### Generalized Gaussian

$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(-|x/s|^r)}{2s/r\Gamma(1/r)}$$



Uniform distribution r -> infinite

### The wavelet marginal model



### The wavelet marginal model



k x,y

# What is the most probable image under the wavelet marginal model?



$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(-|x/s|^r)}{2s/r\Gamma(1/r)}$$



## Sampling images

#### Gaussian model



**Fig. 3.** Example image randomly drawn from the Gaussian spectral model, with  $\gamma = 2.0$ .

#### Wavelet marginal model



Fig. 6. A sample image drawn from the wavelet marginal model, with subband density parameters chosen to fit the image of Fig. 7.

#### **Reminder from lecture 8:**

#### Pyramid-Based Texture Analysis/Synthesis

David J. Heeger' Stanford University James R. Bergen<sup>†</sup> SRI David Samoff Research Center

#### Abstract

This paper describes a method for synthesizing images that match the texture appearance of a given flighteet sample. This synthesis is completely automatic and requires only the "target" texture as input. It allows generation of as much texture as desired so that any object can be covered. It can be used to produce solid textures for coating textured 3-d objects without the distortions inherent in texture mapping. It can also be used to synthesize texture mixtures, images that look a bit like each of several digitized samples. The approach is based on a model of human texture perception, and has potential to be a practically useful tool for graphics applications.

#### 1 Introduction

Computer renderings of objects with surface texture are more interesting and realistic than those without texture. Texture mapping [15] is a technique for adding the appearance of surface detail by wrapping or projecting additized texture image onto a surface. Digitized textures can be obtained from a variety of scurves, e.g., cropped from a photoCD image, but the resulting texture chip may not have the desired size or shape. To sever a large object you may need to repeat the texture; this can lead to unacceptable artifacts either in the form of visible seams, visible repetition, or both.

Texture mapping suffers from an additional fundamental problem: often there is no natural map from the (planar) texture image to the geometry/topclogy of the surface, so the texture may be distorted unnaturally when mapped. There are some partial solutions to this distortion problem [15] but there is no universal solution for mapping an image onto an arbitrarily shaped surface.

An alternative to texture mapping is to create (paint) textures by hand directly onto the 3-d surface model [14], but this process is both very labor intensive and requires considerable artistic skill.

Another alternative is to use computer-synthesized textures so that as much texture can be generated as needed. Furthermore, some of the synthesis techniques produce textures that tile seamlessly.

Using synthetic textures, the distortion problem has been solved in two different ways. First, some techniques work by synthesizing texture directly on the object surface (e.g., [31]). The second solution is to use solid accures [19, 23, 24]. A solid texture is a 3-d array of color values. A point on the surface of an object is colored by the value of the solid texture at the corresponding 3-d point. Solid texturing can be a very natural solution to the distortion problem there is no distortion because there is no mapping. However, existing techniques for synthesizing solid textures can be quite cumbersome. One must learn how to tweak the parameters or procedures of the texture synthesizer to get a desired effect.

This paper presents a technique for synthesizing an image (or solid texture) that matches the appearance of a given texture sample. The key advantage of this technique is that it works entirely from the example texture, requiring no additional information or adjustment. The technique starts with a digitized image and analyzes it to compute a number of texture parameter values. Those parameter valnes are then used to synthesize a new image (of any size) that looks (in its color and texture properties) like the original. The analysis phase is inherently two dimensional since the input digitized images are 2-4. The synthesis phase, however, may be either two- or threedimensional. For the 3-d case, the output is a solid texture such that planar slices through the solid look like the original scanned image. In either case, the (2-d or 3-d) texture is synthesized so that it thes scanlessly.

#### 2 Texture Models

Textures have often been classified into two categories, deterministic textures and stochastic textures. A deterministic texture is characterized by a set of primitives and a placement rule (e.g., a the floor). A stochastic texture, on the other hand, does not have easily identifiable primitives (e.g., granite, bark, sand). Many real-world textures have some mixture of these two characteristies (e.g., woven fabric, woodgrain, plowed fields).

Much of the previous work on texture analysis and synthesis can be classified according to what type of texture model was used. Some of the successful texture models include reactiondiffusion [31, 34], frequency domain [17], fractal [9, 18], and statistical/random field [1, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 21, 26] models. Some (e.g., [10]) have used hybrid models that include a deterministic (or penodic) component and a stochastic component. In spite of all this work, scarned images and hand-drawn textures are still the principle source of exture maps in computer graphics.

This paper focuses on the synthesis of sociastic textures. Our approach is motivated by research on human texture perception. Current theories of texture discrimination are based on the fact that two textures are often difficult to discriminate when they produce a similar distribution of responses in a bank of (orientation and spatial-frequency selective) linear filters (2, 3, 7, 16, 20, 32). The method described here, therefore, synthesizes textures by matching distributions (or histograms) of filter outputs. This approach depends on the principle (not entirely correct as we shell see) that all of the spatial information characterizing a texture image can be captured in the first order statistics of an appropriately chosen set of linear filter outputs. Nevertheless, this model (though incomplete) captures an increasing set of texture properties.



Figure 5: (Top Row) Original digitized sample textures: red granite, berry bush, figured maple, yellow coral. (Bottom Rows) Synthetic solid textured teapots.

https://www.cns.nyu.edu/heegerlab/content/publications/Heeger-siggraph95.pdf

#### SIGGRAPH 1995

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Psychology, Starford University, Stanford, CA \$4305, heeger@white stanford.edu http://white.stanford.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>5Ri Duvić Sartoff Research Center, Princeton, NJ 08544, jrb@samoff.com

### **Texture analysis**

Wavelet decomposition (steerable pvr)







(sub-band histogram)

(Steerable pyr; Simoncelli & Freeman, '95)

### **Texture synthesis**

Heeger and Bergen, 1995



Force each subband of the input random noise to have the histogram of the corresponding subband from the input texture

#### Iterate the histogram matching over the subbands and input images



#### Examples from the paper



Figure 3: In each pair left image is original and right image is synthetic: stucco, iridescent ribbon, green marble, panda fur, slag stone, figured yew wood.

Heeger and Bergen, 1995

This texture synthesis work was the inspiration for the Gatys et al approach to force neural network co-occurence statistics to agree with those of a target style image in order to stylize an image.

#### Denoising

White

noise

Noisy

image







Denoising with the marginal wavelet model Let y = noise-corrupted observation: y = x+n, with  $n \sim gaussian$ .

Let x = bandpassed image value before adding noise.



#### Denoising with the marginal wavelet model

Let x = bandpassed image value before adding noise. Let y = noise-corrupted observation.



#### Denoising with the marginal wavelet model

Let x = bandpassed image value before adding noise. Let y = noise-corrupted observation.



#### Denoising with the marginal wavelet model

y = 25

y = 115



For small y: probably it is due to noise and y should be set to 0 For large y: probably it is due to an image edge and it should be kept untouched

# MAP estimate, $\hat{\chi}$ , as function of observed coefficient value, y



Figure 2: Bayesian estimator (symmetrized) for the signal and noise histograms shown in figure 1. Superimposed on the plot is a straight line indicating the identity function.

http://www-bcs.mit.edu/people/adelson/pub\_pdfs/simoncelli\_noise.pdf

Simoncelli and Adelson, Noise Removal via Bayesian Wavelet Coring Bayesian estimate for wavelet coefficient value, for different assumed wavelet marginal distribution values of r







#### original



With Gaussian noise of std. dev. 21.4 added, giving PSNR=22.06 dB



(1) Denoised withGaussian model,PSNR=27.87 dB





(2) Denoised withwavelet marginalmodel,PSNR=29.24 dB

http://www.cns.nyu.edu/pub/eero/simoncelli05a-preprint.pdf

### Statistical Image Models

- Gaussian image model
  - image synthesis
  - Wiener filter denoising
- Kurtotic wavelets model
  - image synthesis
  - Bayesian denoising
- Non-parametric MRF model

Instead of a sum of basis function samples taken independently, we'll generate the image bit by bit, modeling the image as a set of conditional sample draws.

- image synthesis (Efros and Leung texture model)
- Non-local means denoising

#### Texture Synthesis by Non-parametric Sampling

Alexei A. Efros and Thomas K. Leung Computer Science Division University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720-1776, U.S.A. {efros,leungt}@cs.berkeley.edu

Image model: each image has a large set of "production rules" If the local image values satisfy the conditions of one of the production rules, then you output a particular pixel value.

# Efros & Leung Algorithm



#### Assuming Markov property, compute P(p|N(p))

- Building explicit probability tables is infeasible
- –Instead, we search the input image for all similar neighborhoods — that's our pdf for p
- -To sample from this pdf, just pick one match at random



Figure 1. Algorithm Overview. Given a sample texture image (left), a new image is being synthesized one pixel at a time (right). To synthesize a pixel, the algorithm first finds all neighborhoods in the sample image (boxes on the left) that are similar to the pixel's neighborhood (box on the right) and then randomly chooses one neighborhood and takes its center to be the newly synthesized pixel.

### Effect of Neighborhood Window Size on Texture Generation



# Varying Window Size











1		
	11	
	2.4	1
27 17 22		

Increasing window size

## Synthesis Results


## More Results

### white bread

brick wall





# Homage to Shannon

r Dick Gephardt was fai rful riff on the looming in nly asked, "What's your tions?" A heartfelt sigh story about the emergen es against Clinton. "Boy g people about continuin ardt began, patiently obs s, that the legal system h g with this latest tanger

b roopi odi ?. eh. Auv htht. 1 imr Ihnnne egle ier ototi " n-irtht, feor + elor "Agas "he" G a,o a.Js? tirtfooear, frot hting of dtab, hobini, thuithr te opm ter 10e , t "tusl", Itt B fit seu + jois hrist'dh bnr rn. 1 id of Pule 10 this one of the formation in a straight one of the state of the sta bntu nB. ', s<sup>1</sup> fai if' if hooegahmtt. 's af en's the hskas he k ys.h, c "u et iff tin <sup>h</sup>cu nit..." "fff afe c+d, t eil.'r h, if 'i''' C noluu "thleg dt ff afe c+d, t eil.'r h, c 'r ar " dthf ptdi Und in e owhe s. Amoe elt Vapin, t tt<sub>eð</sub> The ingeor 6 abenn le de ver nir "t tiftelit rrn, oe[bs\_the] Jiot tii, H en A "ar en e Utit tu ri. to seh ite . le th mine 1? rt sy psan wayoh oi 12b

thaim. them . "Whephartfe lartifelintomimen el ck Clirticout omaim thartfelins fout sanetc the ry onst wartfe lck Gephtoomimeationl sigab Chiooufit Clinut Cll riff on, hat's yordn, parut tly : ons ycontonsteht wasked, paim t sahe loo riff on 1 nskoneploourtfeas leil A nst Clit, "Wieontongal s k Cirtioouirtfepe.ong pme abegal fartfenstemem tiensteneltorydt telemephinsverdt was agemen ff ons artientont Cling peme as،rtfe ati،h, "Boui s nal s fartfelt sig pedr‡rdt ske abounutie aboutioo tfeonewas you aboun thardt that ins fain, ped, ains. them, pabout wasy arfut couitly d, In A h ole emthrängboomme agas fa bontinsyst Clinut ' ory about continst Clipeoµinst Cloke agatiff out ( stome minemen fly ardt beoraboul n, thenly as t G cons faimeme Diontont wat coutlyohgans as fan ien, phrtfaul, "Wbaut cout congagal cómininga: mifmst Cliny abon al coountha.emungaint tf oun Vhe looorysten loontieph. intly on, theoplegatick 🤇 ul fatiezontly atie Diontiomt wal s f tbegàe ener <u>mthahgat's enenhinhas fan. "intchthory abons y</u>

# Hole Filling













# Extrapolation











What denoising algorithm would result from this non-parametric model for image generation?

A denoising algorithm which implicitly assumes this non-parametric model for image generation

### A non-local algorithm for image denoising

Antoni Buades, Bartomeu Coll Dpt. Matemàtiques i Informàtica, UIB Ctra. Valldemossa Km. 7.5, 07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain vdmiabc4@uib.es, tomeu.coll@uib.es

Jean-Michel Morel CMLA, ENS Cachan 61, Av du Président Wilson 94235 Cachan, France morel@cmla.ens-cachan.fr

### Non-local means

tificial shocks which can be justified by the computation of its method noise, see [3].

#### 3. NL-means algorithm

Given a discrete noisy image  $v = \{v(i) \mid i \in I\}$ , the estimated value NL[v](i), for a pixel *i*, is computed as a weighted average of all the pixels in the image,

$$NL[v](i) = \sum_{j \in I} w(i, j)v(j),$$

$$w(i,j) = rac{1}{Z(i)} e^{-rac{||v(\mathcal{N}_i) - v(\mathcal{N}_j)||_{2,a}^2}{h^2}},$$

where Z(i) is the normalizing constant

$$Z(i) = \sum_{j} e^{-\frac{||v(N_i) - v(N_i)||_{2,a}^2}{h^2}}$$

and the parameter h acts as a degree of filtering. It controls the decay of the exponential function and therefore the decay of the weights as a function of the Euclidean distances.



Figure 2. Display of the NL-means weight distribution used to estimate the central pixel of every image. The weights go from 1(white) to zero(black).

## Non-local means



gure 5. Denoising experience on a natural image. From left to right and from top to botton tandard deviation 20), Gauss filtering, anisotropic filtering, Total variation, Neighborhood f eans algorithm. The removed details must be compared with the method noise experience, F

# Statistical Image Models

- Gaussian image model
  - image synthesis
  - Wiener filter denoising
- Kurtotic wavelets model
  - image synthesis
  - Bayesian denoising
- Non-parametric MRF model
  - image synthesis (Efros and Leung texture model)
  - Non-local means denoising







production rules